

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/613,698	SCHWARTZ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen	1754	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mr. Frederick Strickland.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 7 December 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner's amendment was proposed to put case in allowable condition. Authorization was given by Mr. Strickland.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)